UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp

ТО	E MILLS DISTRIC	CT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Marilyn L. Huff	
FR	OM: E. Lloyd,	Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/31/08	
CASE NO.: 08cv804-H (CAB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Sarita Rivers			
CASE TITLE: Battle-El v. Rivers			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Stipulation for Dismissal			
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
$\bar{\Box}$	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
ā	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
X		OTHER: Per Local Rule 7.2(b) Stipulation must be filed as a Joint Motion	
		Date forwarded: 8/1/08	
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.		
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a		
	* *	copy of this order on all parties. Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	Rejected document to be returned to pro-se of findate? — Tes. Court copy retained by chambers —		
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuan to Local Rule 83.1		
		CHAMBERS OF: HUFF	
	Dated: 8/4/09 cc: All Parties	By: <u>LC 1</u>	